

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
Hennie Bester	Private	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The 1:100 year floodline is incorrectly stipulated. The dam overflow and watercourse around the "island" falls outside the flood plain. 2. Adherence to the National Water Act, National Environmental Management Act. Do not see environmental impact assessment performed. 3. Was a traffic impact study performed? <p>Attached stormwater photos.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The floodline was recalculated and drawings / layouts amended. 2. As indicated on the advertised notice of 23 October 2015 advertised in the Ridge Times, site notices and letters to bordering residents the commencement of the environmental impact assessment process was announced. 3. According to the Manual for Traffic Impact Studies, a high income development is expected to generate 1.5 peak hour trips per household, with a directional split of 75:25. According to the said manual the development of 12 residential stands of this extent will in total contribute to approximately 18 peak hour vehicle trips. This means that one trip will on average be created every approximate 3 minutes during a peak hour. Such a low figure will not have a detrimental impact on the flow of traffic in the area. According to Section 12.10 of the Govan Mbeki Land Use Scheme 2010 (as amended) a traffic impact study is only required when the proposed development is expected to generate more than 150 peak hour trips, while a

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>Traffic Impact Statement will be required if a development is expected to generate between 50-150 peak hour trips. The proposed development does not trigger any of the aforementioned.</p>
Debbie vd Heever	Green area abuse movement	<p>Re: Green Area abuse, noise pollution and security risk to the community</p> <p>Dear Sirs/Madam</p> <p>We, the concerned citizens of Secunda, are writing this letter to the Town Planning Department and Sasol because we, as a community, feel that there was a planning and design oversight in and around the town's green ("recreational") areas.</p> <p>Govan Mbeki in corporation with Sasol has "upgraded" the green areas with braai facilities, recreation and associated parking.</p>	<p>Although the approximate 1.08 hectares' development will not address the green area abuse of the greater area (i.e. to eliminate it), it does have benefits to the surrounding community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection of adjacent homes (positive safety impact); - Control of traffic; - Preservation of community character; - Promotion of mixed land use pattern and; - Protection of property values. <p>In summary, the establishment of the residential use on the subject property will add diversity and create residential alternatives in the area that will benefit the envisaged development and also the wider area and greater community. It will also have associated maintenance and safety benefits to the surrounding community and prevent abuse</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Please note we are all in favour of upgrades, but upgrades must be sustainable. Unfortunately some of these “upgrades” are neither sustainable nor uplifting to the surrounding community. (Specifically referring to the area allocated at Trichardspruit dam, PDP Kruger Street (opposite the New Builders Warehouse).</p> <p>The area once known as Kuschke Park is not properly fenced; as a result access with vehicles is gained to the braai facilities. Recently vehicles were seen late at night in Kuschke Park and we are very concerned that illegal activities are linked to this.</p> <p>There are constant incidents (Friday, Saturday and Sundays) where people using</p>	<p>of the community as mentioned.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>the braai facilities are making excessive noise with their boomboxes” and even reffing up of their vehicles. The noise pollution disrupts the surrounding residential areas as far as 1km.</p> <p>What is the “cost of noise” to our health – you may ask.</p> <p>☒ Problems related to noise include hearing loss, stress, interference with communication, sleep loss, inability to enjoy one’s property or leisure time and a general reduction in the quality of life and tranquillity.</p> <p>The users also drive in the green area with their vehicles, disturbing people, their safety, fauna and flora. They also</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p data-bbox="607 416 1126 552">dangerously cross PDP Kruger Drive to gain access or exit the facilities north of the Sasol Club.</p> <p data-bbox="607 632 1111 767">On numerous occasions the police where contacted regarding this noise pollution and in some instances arrests were made.</p> <p data-bbox="607 847 1122 1145">In light of the above one can only conclude that the “upgrade” was not thoroughly analysed, and the impact assessed, before the decision was made and location was decided on. No interested and affected parties were consulted.</p> <p data-bbox="607 1225 1115 1361">We have seen how the Eendjie dam has been developed recently to control access and maintain the area. With good results!</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>But what happened in reality, is that the people who previously abused the Eendjie dam area, now moved to other locations along the green areas, specifically the area allocated at Trichardspruit dam, PDP Kruger Street. We also do know that there is a no noise policy at the Eendjie dam and this raises the question why this cannot be applied in our area.</p> <p>It is our Constitutional Right as citizens of Secunda and of South Africa to have a peaceful, quiet and safe living space as it is laid down by the laws of this country.</p> <p>Please see extract from our Environment Conservation Act, 1989 (Act 73 of 1989) – Noise control regulations, 1999.</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>“Section 8 - Prohibition of Disturbing Noise No person shall make, produce or cause a disturbing noise, or allow it to be made, produced or caused by any person, animal, machine, device or apparatus or any combination thereof.</p> <p>Section 9 – Prohibition of Noise Nuisance No person shall; operate or play, or allow to be operated or played, a radio, television set, drum, musical instrument, sound amplifier, loudspeaker system or similar device producing, reproducing or amplifying sound so as to cause a noise nuisance.</p> <p>Section 18 - Penalties Any person who contravenes or fails to</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>comply with a provision of these regulations shall be guilty of an offence and liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding R20,000, or to imprisonment for a period not exceeding two years, or to both such find and such imprisonment and “</p> <p>We would in light of our Rights as citizens therefore appreciate your reassessing of the facilities and usage thereof, and the earliest control of noise pollution in the affected areas. We also request your urgent action in securing the Kuschke Park area from any further unauthorised entrance.</p> <p>Grateful if this matter can receive its deserved attention as we believe the results will be conducive to further uplift</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>and strengthen this into a peaceful and harmonised community.</p> <p>Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter</p>	
Graham Phillips	Private	<p>I disagree with the proposed development of SECUNDA EXTENSION 7 ERF 3535 RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT (MDARDLA REF: 1/3/1/16/1G-23)</p> <p>We currently have enough housing in the Secunda CBD AREA. If any housing is required it can be in the area between Secunda and Evander. We need green areas in Secunda where people can relax.</p> <p>This is just a quick get rich scheme by the developer and ANC led municipality</p>	<p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>The proposed development will not only benefit an individual or a small group from the sale of the property, property rates and taxes and the utilisation of services poses many spin-off opportunities and benefits, including but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prospective buyers – the provision and development of much needed residential properties that are not currently provided for in the market - Surrounding area: investment - Surrounding property owners: increase the safety and security of the surrounding properties and a positive impact on the value of properties - Municipality: generate an income from the sale of the property, property rates and taxes and the utilisation of services. The municipality will also be relieved of the burden to maintain the area and furthermore allow savings in this regard. - Community: the proposed development will create numerous temporary and permanent employment opportunity, including utilisation of products and services from the local Small and Medium

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			Enterprises. This will extend beyond the construction phase.
Michiel Adriaan Jonker	Bosveld Lapa / Cappuccinos	<p>Residents in Goddefroy Street invested in property as it was next to a zoned “green area”. To have this privilege a price premium was paid. Re-zoning the area would eradicate this and will cut off the view and change the tranquillity of the area for all Secunda residents who often visit one of the most scenic areas is Secunda.</p> <p>Therefore I totally object to the rezoning and I would like to raise the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Was a complete Environmental Impact Assessment done on re-zoning the green area? The environment includes the physical environment but also all interested 	<p>Most of the existing houses in Goddefroy Street are facing north (and east), thus meaning that the living areas of these houses mostly face north while garages, bathroom and other rooms face south, towards the envisaged development. The view onto the open space is furthermore limited by two lanes of trees that are located within the municipal road reserve on both sides of Goddefroy Street. These properties do not have direct access to the park as Goddefroy Street needs to be crossed in order to gain access to the open space system. The majority of the properties in Goddefroy Street does not have a permanent and unrestricted view on the open space.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As indicated on the advertised notice of 23 October 2015 advertised in the Ridge Times, site notices and letters to bordering residents the commencement of the environmental impact assessment process was announced. 2. The specific reasons for the design of the Secunda Extension 7 township more than 35 years ago are unknown. A layout can be determined by many factors and can differ from planner to

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>and affected parties, especially the residents in Goddefroy Street. I was personally not involved in any discussions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Why did the original town planners not decide to develop the green area side of Goddefroy Street? What were the specific reasons? 3. Were the 50 and 100 year flood lines taken into consideration, and are they accurate. Photos of previous floods over the last 15 years show that water pushes up to a few meters from the street level. See photos attached. 4. There are mineable coal under the green areas, specifically Portion / Erf 3535 Secunda X7. An 	<p>planner, and it is therefore needless to say that there is not only one single or “correct” layout for an area. Furthermore, town planning is a dynamic profession and the shape and development of a town tends to change over time. The applicant is following the prescribed town planning and related procedures in order to apply for the proposed development.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The 1:100 year flood line has been calculated and the development is not located within the floodline. The floodline was recalculated and drawings / layouts amended. 4. Erf Re/3535 forms part of a formally proclaimed township land. The land is also currently registered in the name of the Govan Mbeki Municipality. Sasol is aware of the proposed development and representatives of Sasol attended the public participation meeting. Should Sasol wish to mine the green strips for coal, similar processes to what is currently followed by the applicant, need to be undertaken such as an EIA, Rezoning etc. 5. There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>exploration borehole was drilled 20 meters from Erf 936 in the green area and yielded good quality coal. Was permission obtained from Sasol Mining to sterilise coal?</p> <p>5. Were all residents offered the opportunity to tender for the purchase of the proposed rezoned area? I am personally not aware of it.</p>	<p>close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <p>On 23 June 2010 the proponent applied to the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality to acquire Erf 3535 (Secunda Extension 7). On 15 October 2010 the proposed sale of the land was published in the Echo Ridge. On 13 October 2011 a deed of sale</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>was signed with the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality. On 16 December 2011 the proposed park closure was advertised in the Echo Ridge. On 27 May 2014 the Govan Mbeki Local municipality issued the proponent with a notice of park closure which allowed the proponent to proceed with the rezoning process. Please refer also to Appendix J.</p>
Maarten Pretorius	Private	<p>Besware teen ontwikkeling van groenstrook oorkant ronde kerk en Goddefroy Straat</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gebied onder Goddefroy straat is 'n vloedsone. Enige ontwikkeling van die gebied sal die nuwe eienaar ingevaar stel in geval van 'n vloed. Dit kan groot skade lei vir eienaars. 2. Daar is tans eksentrieke/unieke pragtige groot bome met geskiedenis en wat veral karakter aan die omgewing gee. Die uithaal daarvan sal lei tot beskadiging van 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Floodline was recalculated and drawings / layouts amended. 2. The large trees on the side of Goddefroy Street will not be removed. Although the trees within the development area are not indigenous, there will also be an effort to incorporate trees where possible into the development footprint. 3. The large trees on the side of Goddefroy Street will not be removed. Although the trees within the development area are not indigenous, there will also be an effort to incorporate trees where possible into the development footprint. The footprint of the envisaged development footprint consists out of transformed vegetation resembling a sports field when maintained with no indigenous vegetation remaining. The area

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>kultuur van die groenstrook.</p> <p>3. Uithaal van bome en ontwikkeling van die area sal lei tot die ontwrigting van fauna en flora van die gebied. Dit is die huisvesting van unieke uile, kiewiete, tarentale, hadidas, hoep-hoeps, verskeidenheid vinke en Europese trekvoels.</p> <p>4. Die gebied dien ook as addisionele parkering tydens kerkbyeenkomste van die NG ronde kerk in Secunda.</p> <p>5. Die ontwikkeling van die area in die woongebied gaan lei tot besigging Goddefroy straat met toename in inbrake. Dit gaan tot gevolg he dat huidige eienaars in Goddefroy straat se eiendom se waardes</p>	<p>is also subjected to frequent disturbance from the movement of vehicles. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) the area does not contain any critical biodiversity areas. The area has also not been identified as being a buffer area of a protected area or an ecological support area.</p> <p>According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) there are no irreplaceable natural features or natural features of concern. There is a big piece of vacant land directly opposite the street from the church that is used for parking apart from the Church's own parking space.</p> <p>4. The approximate 1.08 hectares' development does have benefits to the surrounding community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection of adjacent homes (positive safety impact); • Control of traffic; • Preservation of community character; • Promotion of mixed land use pattern and; • Protection of property values.

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>drasties verlaag.</p> <p>6. Verhinder en ontwrig die huidige kalm en rustige atmosfeer van die omgewing.</p> <p>7. Tans is daar ook 'n probleem met die riolering van die area en kort-kort is daar rioldreine wat oorstoot.'n Indikasie dat die huidige rioolstelsel nie voldoende is om meer wonings te dra nie.</p> <p>8. Daar heers tans 'n oormaat van huise in Secunda wat leegstaan sa SASOL se herstruktuering. Waarom nog wonings in 'n park area wat karakter gee aan ons dorp?</p> <p>9. Affected area is used a Park, take photos of weddings and Grade 12 farewell, running land and cycling.</p>	<p>In summary, the establishment of the residential use on the subject property will add diversity and create residential alternatives in the area that will benefit the envisaged development and also the wider area and greater community. It will also have associated maintenance and safety benefits to the surrounding community.</p> <p>5. The development of 12 or less upmarket houses with a value two to three times that of the nearby surrounding houses in the area, are likely to positively impact on the properties in Goddefroy Street. The envisaged development aims to uplift the character of the area and to have a positive impact on the value of the existing properties in Goddefroy Street. The closure of a Portion of Erf 3535, Secunda Extension 7 was advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality in 2011. The application for closure was duly approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality on 27 May 2014. By means of the closure of a portion of the park, its "public status" was removed, and it gained "private status" and providing an opportunity for the area to be rezoned.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Enige behoorlike omgewingsimpakstudie wat behoorlik gedoen is sal uitwys dat die area nie geskik is vir ontwikkeling van 'n woongebied wanneer vloedsone, fauna en flora inag geneem word.</p>	<p>The proposed residential development is not expected to have a negative impact on the surrounding uses, considering that the majority of uses in the surrounding area are used for residential purposes. Residential uses are in general not considered to be noise or risk associated uses, especially not on the scale and density such as what the envisaged development will entail. As a direct impact of the envisaged development, services will be installed that will contribute in upgrading the service network of the area. The utilisation of 1.08 hectares of open space for residential purposes will have a very limited impact on the larger open space system, which is more than 100 hectares in extent. The proposed development will increase the safety and security as more residents will be living in the area and will contribute towards awareness of criminal or suspected criminal activities. The position of the property will not negatively affect the sunlight of the surrounding properties. Access to the greater remaining open space system will still be possible from Goddefroy Street and Coen Brits Street, and the proposed</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>development will therefore not restrict access to the wider open space system. The creation of a maximum of 12 residential stands will not generate high or excessive amounts of traffic or noise in the operational phase. During the construction phase measures will be implemented as part of the EMPr to mitigate noise and traffic impacts. The provision of residential stands will create new opportunities to address the growing demand and housing backlog in a fast developing area. The envisaged development will also provide stands in an upmarket area the will allow a person to build from plan. It also need to be noted that park closure of the area on which the envisaged development footprint is planned for has already been approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality over a year ago resulting in revoking the public status. This process was also advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality.</p> <p>6. Same answer as for 5.</p> <p>7. It is not clear which manholes overflow. During an inspection on the site to measure the depth of the manholes it was clear</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>that the sewer manholes adjacent to the proposed rezoning had not be opened for a long time. It was further noticed that the depth of flow in the sewer pipe was only approximately 60% of the pipe diameter. The sewer was also flowing quite fast with the result that solids are transported effectively and would not cause blockages. No evidence was found that sewer manholes adjacent to the proposed sites had overflowed.</p> <p>8. Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p> <p>9. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked. The floodline was also recalculated and drawings / layouts amended.</p>
Lourens Erasmus	Sasol	<p>I would like to oppose the development as it would destroy a park used by the whole community. There is no shortage of houses in area thus the development is not needed.</p>	<p>Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>
Marret Coetzee	Private	<p>I am not in agreement with the development, due to the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the business case for the 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>development as there are hundreds of houses on the market that are not sold currently (no need for housing).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Park areas should not be utilised for housing. The area is used for recreational purposes and aesthetics. 3. What process was followed by the municipality to sell this property to the developers? Who authorised what? 	<p>/ her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>3. There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <p>On 23 June 2010 the proponent applied to the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality to acquire Erf 3535 (Secunda Extension 7). On 15 October 2010 the proposed sale of the land was published in the Echo Ridge. On 13 October 2011 a deed of sale was signed with the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality. On 16 December 2011 the proposed park closure was advertised in the Echo Ridge. On 27 May 2014 the Govan Mbeki Local municipality issued the proponent with a notice of park closure which allowed the proponent to proceed with the rezoning process. Please refer also to Appendix J.</p>
Marie Burger	Private	Due to the close proximity of the Sasol	Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Plant to the town, all these "lungs" were included in the town planning to ensure air quality won't be sacrificed. Now more houses must be built where there are already an abundance of empty houses. Trees take ages to grow in this soil, so what will be done to replace the trees? We never had a big flood, but small localised storms usually over flow the whole wet land.</p>	<p>for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an "active functional open space". The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked. It is an occurrence in Secunda that houses are constructed on both sides of the street where space allows for it and where rezoning has taken place. The proposed development will not change the unique layout of Secunda. The proposed medium density development</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>supports the unique Secunda layout, as compared to high density development or low cost development.</p> <p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p> <p>The large trees on the side of Goddefroy Street will not be removed.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>Although the trees are not indigenous, there will also be an effort to incorporate trees where possible into the development footprint.</p> <p>The floodline was recalculated and drawings / layouts amended.</p>
Vinessa Smit	Private	<p>Ek is woonagtig vanaf 1981 in Secunda, pragtige dorp, 'n ruk terug het alles verval totdat Sasol ingegryp het. Alles begin nou net weer opstandaard kom. My kinders het deur die area, veilig gestap na die Laerskool. Ons het reeds so min bome om die atmosfeer te reining. Daar is oortollig huise in Secunda na Sasol se koste besparing. Daar is ook nie genoeg water om te bou nie, ons gaan in 'n droogte tydperk in. Dit is geld mors en die inwoners het reeds daar gekoop om nie in ander huise vas te kyk nie. Kyk vir alternatiewe grond, daar in uitbreiding 22, gee eerder</p>	<p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>vir die ou mense wat so waar kry kos en mediese versorging. Gaan kyk na die diens department in Secunda grader eerder dit op en lei die mense wat daar werk op om meer vriendelik te wees. Die afdeling waar ons ons bestuur lisensie moet hernu is in 'n toestand.</p>	<p>Most of the existing houses in Goddefroy Street are facing north (and east), thus meaning that the living areas of these houses mostly face north while garages, bathroom and other rooms face south, towards the envisaged development. The view onto the open space is furthermore limited by two lanes of trees that are located within the municipal road reserve on both sides of Goddefroy Street. These properties do not have direct access to the park as Goddefroy Street needs to be crossed in order to gain access to the open space system. The majority of the properties in Goddefroy Street does not have a permanent and unrestricted view on the open space.</p> <p>There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p>
Alexa Pohl	Private	<p>The green area helps to create an outdoor culture, for people to spend time with their families in a healthy way and distress. Which helps the community. There is no need for extra housing in Secunda, especially after Project Phoenix at SASOL and the housing/property market will be negatively affected if this development proceeds.</p>	<p>Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>
Marlene van der	Private	Very concerned that a new development is	Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
Linde		<p>planned and there is so many empty houses in town. Why do the development in the park area? Also, the parks have been upgraded and being used for running, walking dogs, etc, and now it will be dug up, trees killed for no reason. And, has there been a wetland study done? If there are floodlines, then a watercourse, then a wetland. What about the National Water Act, the 21c and I applications for 500m from a wetland? Can this also be assessed please?</p>	<p>large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p> <p>Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>Based on the information generated in the Specialist study completed (Appendix D) the wetland area is classified as a highly altered channelled valley bottom wetland. The associated floodplain consists of a seasonal wetland zone with soils of the Rensburg form. Refer to section 5.7 of the specialist report for the reason for the classification of these soils as “seasonal wetland”.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>The wetland / watercourse to the south of the investigation site is situated in an urban context with the associated urban infrastructure impacts on storm water and soil and flow alteration.</p> <p>Additionally, the site is situated in a land type which is dominated by marginal soils. The delineation of wetlands on these soils poses problems as the soils do not exhibit the specific redox morphology associated with wetlands as described in the wetland delineation guidelines (DWAF, 2005).</p> <p>Feedback will be obtained from the Department of Water Affairs concerning license requirements.</p>
Elyssa Spreeth	Private	I love attending the park run and it would be horrible if a part of the park is destroyed. Furthermore, the local church (Rondekerk) makes use of the park during fund raising activities by organising a marathon or a bike race through the park.	Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>space". The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p>
Rajan Bhalla	Sasol	<p>The proposed development has no sanity as such, neither from a need perspective nor development and neither beneficial. Also from an environmental perspective and social requirement perspective the said development is uncalled for.</p> <p>Question to be asked: how will 12 residential units benefit society, presently over 1100 units are up for sale or rent in</p>	<p>The proposed residential development is not expected to have a negative impact on the surrounding uses, considering that the majority of uses in the surrounding area are used for residential purposes. Residential uses are in general not considered to be noise or risk associated uses, especially not on the scale and density such as what the envisaged development will entail. As a direct impact of the envisaged development, services will be installed that will contribute in upgrading the service network of the area. The utilisation of 1.08 hectares of open space for residential purposes will have a very limited impact on the larger open space system, which is more than 100</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>and around Secunda. The supply out strips demand and so WHY?? who is benefitting?? who needs it??</p> <p>Let's be responsible citizens and work to better quality of amenities etc. rather splash / waste in the name of development.</p>	<p>hectares in extent. The proposed development will increase the safety and security as more residents will be living in the area and will contribute towards awareness of criminal or suspected criminal activities. The position of the property will not negatively affect the sunlight of the surrounding properties. Access to the greater remaining open space system will still be possible from Goddefroy Street and Coen Brits Street, and the proposed development will therefore not restrict access to the wider open space system. The creation of a maximum of 12 residential stands will not generate high or excessive amounts of traffic or noise in the operational phase. During the construction phase measures will be implemented as part of the EMPr to mitigate noise and traffic impacts. The provision of residential stands will create new opportunities to address the growing demand and housing backlog in a fast developing area. The envisaged development will provide stands in an upmarket area the will allow a person to build from plan. It also need to be noted that park closure of the area on which the envisaged development footprint is planned for has already been approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality over a year ago</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>resulting in revoking the public status. This process was also advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality.</p> <p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>
Werner Ernst		Ek ondersteun nie die voorgestelde hersonering en onderverdeling nie omdat	Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>dit 'n prima gedeelte van die park area ontnem. Daar is ander dele van die groenstroke wat baie minder ontspanning en estetiese waarde het en dus eerder ontwikkel kan word indien daar 'n behuisingstekort is. Maar daar is ook nie 'n behuisingstekort nie. Die ontwikkeling gaan nie vir die gemeenskap finansiële of estetiese waarde toevoeg nie, veral om die beplande behuising matige digtheid woonverblyf in die vooruitsig stel. Die oorgang tussen die privaat eiendom en die park-area is in sulke gevalle onooglik omdat die eienaars nie die finansiële vermoë het om dit mooi te hou nie. Baie kere neem dit ook baie lank voor alle bourommel verwyder is en die parkarea weer herstel na die oorspronklike toestand.</p>	<p>only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>
Wim Barendrecht (Dr)	Private	The advertisement for rezoning should be in all local papers and at least a full page.	The requirements of the Public Participation Process as prescribed in Government Notice R982 of 4 December 2014, section 41(2)(c) reads: “..placing an advertisement in (i) one local newspaper”. The activity does not result in impacts that will extend beyond the boundaries of the metropolitan or district municipality.
Pieter Booyen	Private	I stay across the proposed development in Goddefroy Street. My house is a double storey dwelling, of which the second floor was designed to have a view over the green	Most of the existing houses in Goddefroy Street are facing north (and east), thus meaning that the living areas of these houses mostly face north while garages, bathroom and other rooms face south, towards the envisaged development. The view onto the open space is

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>area. This development will destroy my view and the “look and feel” of the green area. This development will also negatively impact trees that will be removed, bird life as well as people using the area for recreational purposes.</p>	<p>furthermore limited by two lanes of trees that are located within the municipal road reserve on both sides of Goddefroy Street. These properties do not have direct access to the park as Goddefroy Street needs to be crossed in order to gain access to the open space system. The majority of the properties in Goddefroy Street does not have a permanent and unrestricted view on the open space.</p> <p>The footprint of the envisaged development footprint consists out of transformed vegetation resembling a sports field when maintained with no indigenous vegetation remaining. The area is also subjected to frequent disturbance from the movement of vehicles. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) the area does not contain any critical biodiversity areas. The area has also not been identified as being a buffer area of a protected area or an ecological support area. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) there are no irreplaceable natural features or natural features of concern.</p> <p>Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Kan ek asb die lys kry van die persone (80+) wat die ontwikkeling ondersteun soos deur julle gekommunikeer op die inligtingsessie van November in Secunda.</p>	<p>system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>The list of participants registered that supports the development was sent to the stakeholder and was made available on the website.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Kan jy dalk bevestig in watter hoedanigheid is die persone wat die ontwikkeling ondersteun, geaffekteer word maw waar hulle woon of hoe hulle geraak word? Ek kon dit nie agterkom van jou dokument nie?</p> <p>Verder is die persone geaffekteer deur groenstroke in algemeen of net met die Goddefroy ontwikkeling?</p> <p>Debbie vd Heever se vertoeë is byvoorbeeld glad nie van toepassing op die Goddefroy straat ontwikkeling nie, die skrywe gaan oor ander problem areas en geen van die issues bestaan in Goddefroy nie. Verder is daar niemand wat woon in Goddefroy straat woon wat direk geraak word se naam op die lys nie. Verder blyk dit ook dat</p>	<p>The people registered to support the development are parties registered as being Interested Parties. During the Public Participation registration period, registration is opened to all parties that consider themselves to be either or Interested and Affected Parties. Every party that register needs to be added to the register.</p> <p>Debbie vd Heever also registered as an I and AP an in particular submitted comments pertaining to problems that currently exist in Green Strip area in general and pertaining to Green Strip area abuse. These comments also need to be included as per public participation requirements.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>dupleseering is op die lys bv Man, vrou en kind van selfde adres ondersteun?</p> <p>My few comments on the draft report:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The report states that there are no alternative land available for the development, this is questionable as other land is available for development. 2. The 100 year flood line calculation issue is not addressed and remains a concern to the proposed development that it may fall within the flood line. 3. The report does state the presence of a wet land, but does not confirm that all approvals was obtained to continue with the development so 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In terms of property alternatives due to a sale transaction between the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality and the proponent there are no alternatives because there is only one contract between Govan Mbeki Municipality and the proponent. Alternative properties were advertised for tender and tenders adjudicated by GM, there are therefore no other properties available to the proponent. 2. The floodline was recalculated and drawings / layouts amended accordingly. 3. Based on the information generated in the Specialist study completed (Appendix D) the wetland area is classified as a highly altered channelled valley bottom wetland. The associated floodplain consists of a seasonal wetland zone with soils of the Rensburg form. Refer to section 5.7 of the specialist report for the reason for the classification of these soils as

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>close to a wet land, or what the impact of the development will be on the wet land..</p> <p>4. The people that supported the development supported the development of green strips in general and especially where there are issues with certain areas; and not the development of the proposed development at Goddefroy street per se. This is the most valuable and beautiful green strip and is continuously utilised by the community. The proposed development will destroy that special character.</p> <p>5. The benefits of the proposed development to the surrounding</p>	<p>“seasonal wetland”. The wetland / watercourse to the south of the investigation site is situated in an urban context with the associated urban infrastructure impacts on storm water and soil and flow alteration. Additionally, the site is situated in a land type which is dominated by marginalitic soils. The delineation of wetlands on these soils poses problems as the soils do not exhibit the specific redox morphology associated with wetlands as described in the wetland delineation guidelines (DWAF, 2005). The impact assessment is included in the Draft Basic Assessment Report.</p> <p>4. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>community is questionable as it will not protect adjacent homes and will destroy property values close to the development (across the street from the development in Goddefroy street).</p> <p>6. The report states: “The movements of construction trucks, machinery and other construction activities will generate noise on site and affect nearby residents. However, the noise will be of a short term, temporary, localised nature and will last only during the construction phase of the project.” How long is short term, and how long is the proposed construction period? If one house is built at a</p>	<p>space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked. Input will be obtained from the Department of Water Affairs concerning water use license requirements.</p> <p>5. According to Afriplan town planners this statement is not correct as the envisaged development will contribute to an increase in property values and security in the area.</p> <p>6. The time which the development will take will depend on the sale of properties.</p> <p>7. The servitude is in the process of being registered.</p> <p>8. An impact assessment was completed and is included in the</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>time and it takes approximately 9 months the development may take years....</p> <p>7. It was mentioned at the meeting of 25 November that a servitude will be registered, the report does not indicate that or its impact on the development or community.</p> <p>8. Although the development is a small percentage of the 100 hectares as indicated on your report, it has a huge impact on the green strip (park) in Goddefroy street and should be dealt with as such.</p> <p>9. Lastly this development will not address issues experienced with other green strips, as these areas</p>	<p>Draft Basic Assessment Report. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>are located far away from Goddefroy street; thus the development will only destroy a beautiful park.</p>	<p>9. This application is only for a medium density residential development of approximately 1.08 hectares.</p>
Niki Schneider	Private	<p>As resident of Goddefroy street I strongly object against the rezoning of the specific area.</p> <p>My objection is based on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I specifically bought the current property I occupy based on the view and immediate access to the green area right in front of my house; 2. I paid a premium for the above and believe that the planned development of this area will have a negative effect on the future 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Most of the existing houses in Goddefroy Street are facing north (and east), thus meaning that the living areas of these houses mostly face north while garages, bathroom and other rooms face south, towards the envisaged development. The view onto the open space is furthermore limited by two lanes of trees that are located within the municipal road reserve on both sides of Goddefroy Street. These properties do not have direct access to the park as Goddefroy Street needs to be crossed in order to gain access to the open space system. The majority of the properties in Goddefroy Street does not have a permanent and unrestricted view on the open space. 2. The development of 12 or less upmarket houses with a value two to three times that of the nearby surrounding houses in

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>value of my property;</p> <p>3. The current area are utilised by many other residents within the Secunda community for jogging, cycling, picnics, photo shoots and many other family related activities. All of this will be influenced by the proposed development;</p> <p>4. With the recent restructurings processes that took place within Sasol (Project Phoenix), there are currently an oversupply of property within Secunda, and no need for further developments (refer attached info from Private Property as at 10 July 2015, indicating close to 800 properties for sale in the</p>	<p>the area, are likely to positively impact on the properties in Goddefroy Street. The envisaged development aims to uplift the character of the area and to have a positive impact on the value of the existing properties in Goddefroy Street. The closure of a Portion of Erf 3535, Secunda Extension 7 was advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality in 2011. The application for closure was duly approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality on 27 May 2014. By means of the closure of a portion of the park, its “public status” was removed, and it gained “private status” and providing an opportunity for the area to be rezoned.</p> <p>3. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Secunda/Trichardt area);</p> <p>5. Developing this area will enrich an individual or small group of individuals at the detriment of the bigger Secunda community;</p> <p>6. Observing recent floodings of this area, I sincerely believe that the development will also pose significant future safety risks to tenants;</p>	<p>comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>4. Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p> <p>5. The proposed development will not only benefit an individual or a small group from the sale of the property, property rates and taxes and the utilisation of services poses many spin-off opportunities and benefits, including but not limited to the following:</p> <p>Prospective buyers – the provision and development of much needed residential properties that are not currently provided for in the market</p> <p>Surrounding area: investment</p> <p>Surrounding property owners: increase the safety and security of the surrounding properties and a positive impact on the value of</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>properties</p> <p>Municipality: generate an income from the sale of the property, property rates and taxes and the utilisation of services. The municipality will also be relieved of the burden to maintain the area and furthermore allow savings in this regard.</p> <p>Community: the proposed development will create numerous temporary and permanent employment opportunity, including utilisation of products and services from the local Small and Medium Enterprises. This will extend beyond the construction phase.</p> <p>6. The floodline was recalculated and drawings / layouts amended. Please also refer to the EMPr for proposed mitigation measures.</p>
Frank Gottschalk (dr)	Private	I am concerned about the floodline prediction being accurate as in the last 10 years the area under consideration was repeatedly flooded as a result of	The floodline was recalculated and drawings / layouts amended.

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>stormwater run-off. Further comments will be submitted following the release of the draft Basic Assessment Report.</p> <p>In terms of the proposed development of Secunda Erf 3535, I, Dr. F M Gottschalk, and my wife, Regina Gottschalk, are herewith lodging the following objections and comments.</p> <p>General Comments / queries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please provide proof of competency, such as academic qualifications etc. of the persons conducting the basic assessment process. What are Baoberry's credentials?? 2. What is the relationship between Baoberry environmental, its 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The CV of Yolandi Schoeman was forwarded. 2. Baoberry are completely independent to the proponent and company Picabiz. Baoberry only renders a service to the proponent, which is to facilitate the Basic Assessment process. There is no conflict of interest. This CV is also applicable to the person that did the scoring. 3. The floodline was recalculated and drawings / layouts amended.

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>directors and the person(s) proposing to develop Secunda Erf 3535. Could this constitute a potential conflict of interest?</p> <p>3. During the meeting of the 25 November 2015, serious concerns were raised about the flood line in Erf 3535. Has this been addressed and if so how and what was the outcome.</p> <p>4. The following points relate to the Draft Basic Assessment Report shown on Baoberry Environmental's Website as well as to various points raised during the meeting of 25 November 2015:</p> <p>(a) Why were no alternatives considered for this work. From an</p>	<p>4(a) Portion 3 of Erf 3535, Extension 7 is part of a sales transaction between the proponent and the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality. In terms of property alternatives there are none.</p> <p>(b) The size of the property is approximately 1,4 ha in accordance with the agreement between GM and the proponent, however, the a preferred layout alternative of approximately 1.08 hectares has also been included and impacts assessed associated with the alternative layout.</p> <p>(c) The rezoning is still in the process of being approved. This has been elaborated in the Draft Basic Assessment Report.</p> <p>(d) Security measures will be implemented by new home owners .</p> <p>(e) The Park section has been closed. There is a maintenance agreement in place between Sasol and the Local Municipality. According to the documented public document: Govan Mbeki Municipality Spatial Development Framework (GMMSDF)2014, p 52 “ The maintenance on available parks is deemed to be inadequate as on several sites, building material is being dumped illegally”. “Sasol recently had to intervene by means of</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>environmental perspective, surely building houses at another more suitable site should have been a consideration.</p> <p>(b) Inaccuracy on page 6 - the size of the activity must surely be 14 522 m2 and not 1,4522 m2</p> <p>(c) On page 9, a statement is made that the activity is permitted in terms of the property's existing land use right. Yet the re-zoning process is still underway - so how can such a conclusion be reached??</p> <p>(d) Throughout the report, statements are made that this development will improve safety aspects. This might be true for some of the houses on the opposite site of</p>	<p>its Ikusasa project to clean up and maintain the green strips in Secunda. Elsewhere in Secunda, maintenance of public spaces such as roads names, sidewalks and squares is poor” – GMMSDF, 2014; p 48-49. Further, “ Although enough land has been set aside for public open space purposes in the urban areas, this land is generally not well maintained and there is a lack of developed play parks in all the towns” – GMMSDF, 2014 p 218.</p> <p>(f) No one’s rights will be negatively affected.</p> <p>(g) It is all about providing stands in an upmarket area the will allow a person to build from plan.</p> <p>Throughout the SDF reference is made to the shortage and backlog of housing which is considered an area of priority. The SDF acknowledges that the private sector plays a substantial role to assist in addressing this demand.</p> <p>a. “The provision of housing in all development nodes and the rural areas within Govan Mbeki to eradicate large backlogs and provide for the increasing need of a</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Goddefroy Street, but certainly not for the owners of the new houses as these will now be directly exposed to any incidents from the Green Belt border of these properties.</p> <p>(e) The report also makes extensive reference that the park area is maintenance intensive for the municipality. These statements are totally misleading and devoid of the truth, as Sasol - at their costs - has been conducting the maintenance of the Green Belt area at least around Goddefroy Street. Furthermore, at the public participation meeting on 25 November 2015, it was stated that</p>	<p>growing population will require a strong focus from all relevant spheres of government and the private sector on the development of residential areas in Govan Mbeki” – GMMSDF 2014, p 366.</p> <p>The envisaged development will provide stands in an upmarket area the will allow a person to build from plan.</p> <p>(h) Noise levels from the proposed development area will vary constantly and in many instances significantly over short</p> <p>a. periods during any day working period. As a mitigation measure, construction activities that are likely to result in noise levels in excess of 7 dB above ambient noise, at a distance of 100m from the sources should be restricted to normal working hours (i.e. 08h00 to 17h00 Monday to Friday) according to the Noise Control Regulations) to reduce the noise impact to an acceptable level.</p> <p>(i) A file with up to date registrations received from I and APs was taken to the Public Meeting at Kruik on 25 November 2015. On</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Erf 3535 is no longer public land but has been sold and is now Private land. If that is really so, how can the municipality be maintaining private land at their costs???</p> <p>(f) On page 14 it is stated that no-one will be negatively affected by the proposed development. This is not true as the existing residents in Goddefroy Street will be deprived of the view of the Green Belt.</p> <p>(g) On page 16, it is stated that Secunda suffers from a residential backlog. How can there be a residential backlog when over 900 properties are currently on the market???. With Sasol's future reorganization plans to reduce</p>	<p>presentation to Dr Gottschalk he refused to take a look. This was made available at the public meeting already on 25 November 2015. The list of registrations received of stakeholders supporting the development was also forwarded to the stakeholder. This list was made available on the website under the subheading of public participation. The information was made available to the stakeholder.</p> <p>(j) Same as for i above.</p> <p>(k) This information was forwarded to Dr Gottschalk.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>personal, even more properties will become available on the market – so one cannot really make any references to a housing backlog.</p> <p>(h) On page 20, it is stated that the noise level is anticipated to be less than 50dBA. How can this be, as normal conversation level is already above this threshold and 75 dBA is the noise level of a truck traveling on a road. During construction there will be a significant number of trucks traveling down Goddefroy Street.</p> <p>(i) On page 34, it is stated that over 66% of total registered interested and affected parties support the project. This was</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>also stated at the meeting of 25 November 2016, and when this was challenged, Baoberry Environmental had agreed that they would provide proof to substantiate this claim.</p> <p>However to-date no such proof has been provided. Also judging by the overwhelming negative response to the project at the meeting of the 25 November 2015, one can only conclude that the vast majority of the people who are really involved in this project are set against it.</p> <p>So the request is once again: provide legitimate proof of the number of I&AP that support /</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>do not support this project and correct the figure in the Basic Assessment Report!!!</p> <p>(j) On page 36, it is stipulated that a list of I&AP must be included in the Appendix E6. This list is NOT provided (Also see point above).</p> <p>(k) Please provide the credentials of the people who did the scoring for questions raised in the Table starting on page 40.</p>	
Nadia van der Merwe	Private	The existing service infrastructure of the surrounding area is already overloaded: overflowing drains and no water some times because of pipes that must be repaired. 12 More households will be too much of an over load no existing	Storm water drains are normally designed to accommodate storm water runoffs with a probability of 1:5 years to 1:10 years. Hence it could happen that storm water drains overflow sometimes due to excessive rain or high intensity rain. The development of the proposed sites will not be detrimental to the storm water drainage system as the runoff from these sites will go directly to the stream below.

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>infrastructure.</p> <p>The new planned stands will be too close to the water: a couple of times during the last years one could not drive through Oliver Tambo because of water flooding. The municipality must stop stealing and misuse funds to settle debts!</p>	<p>No water could be caused by maintenance on the water reticulation system. The repair of pipes is not caused by an overloaded system but by aging and possible neglected preventative maintenance.</p> <p>The development is outside the 1:100 year floodline. This is also evident from the re-computed floodline.</p>
Alwyn Spies	Private	<p>I object to lose a park area as an integral part of the unique town layout and design. The park area now in discussion have the following attractions: 1. People use it for walking, cycling, fishing; 2. Car parking for large church gatherings i.e. funerals and community activities; 3. Large birdlife in the trees; 4. Large trees; 5. Change in environmental impact; 6. Direct view on</p>	<p>Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>park area from my house that I bought in 2002.</p>	<p>meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>The footprint of the envisaged development footprint consists out of transformed vegetation resembling a sports field when maintained with no indigenous vegetation remaining. The area is also subjected to frequent disturbance from the movement of vehicles. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) the area does not contain any critical biodiversity areas. The area has also not been identified as being a buffer area of a protected area or an ecological support area. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) there are no irreplaceable natural features or natural features of concern. Most of the existing houses in Goddefroy Street are facing north (and east), thus meaning that the living areas of these houses mostly face</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Can you please mail me the presentation that you presented at Kruik on 25 November.</p> <p>The minutes of the meeting that was captured on tape – where can I get hold of</p>	<p>north while garages, bathroom and other rooms face south, towards the envisaged development. The view onto the open space is furthermore limited by two lanes of trees that are located within the municipal road reserve on both sides of Goddefroy Street. These properties do not have direct access to the park as Goddefroy Street needs to be crossed in order to gain access to the open space system. The majority of the properties in Goddefroy Street does not have a permanent and unrestricted view on the open space.</p> <p>The large trees on the side of Goddefroy Street will not be removed. Although the trees are not indigenous, there will also be an effort to incorporate trees where possible into the development footprint.</p> <p>The presentation was mailed to the stakeholder. Due to the size of the file the audio file of the meeting proceedings was placed on the website with link: http://www.baoberry.co.za/Baoberry-Stakeholder-Engagement/Secunda/ under Appendix E: Public Participation</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		a copy of the tape?	
Piet Ferreira	Private	<p>No need for extra accommodation.</p> <p>Against the original town design.</p> <p>Will start a snowball effect.</p>	<p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>
Bernhard Meister	Private	<p>1. It is the prime recreational area recently revamped by Sasol for this purpose – why build housing there.</p>	<p>1. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>There are other places.</p> <p>2. We have more than 900 properties for sale at present. Having many open properties contributes to crime as unwanted persons target these properties. Those properties should be filled first before more housing is built.</p>	<p>the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked. There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <p>2. Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>
Rykie Steenkamp	Private	<p>Secunda is an industrial town, the Sasol plant being its landmark. The green area is the only area of natural beauty in Secunda, and it is used daily by residents. We are grateful that Sasol recently invested in upgrading the area. To residents in the areas adjacent to the green area (like myself), it increases our property value. The</p>	<p>There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>is ample room for residential development elsewhere.</p>	<p>intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <p>Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>The development of 12 or less upmarket houses with a value two to three times that of the nearby surrounding houses in the area, are likely to positively impact on the properties in Goddefroy Street. The envisaged development aims to uplift the character of the area and to have a positive impact on the value of the existing properties in Goddefroy Street. The closure of a Portion of Erf 3535, Secunda Extension 7 was advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality in 2011. The application for closure was duly approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality on 27 May 2014. By means of the closure of a portion of the park, its “public status” was removed, and it gained “private status” and providing an opportunity for the area to be rezoned.</p>
Gert Nieuwoudt	Private	1. If this is approved, will there be future similar developments in the	1. The conversion of small pieces of open space to other uses such as residential, is a concept that has been considered by

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>green area and where will this practice stop. What guarantees can the council give us that we will be able to preserve this unique green area.</p> <p>2. What measures will the developers put in place to protect the part of green area around the development during the building process. We all know how messy the building contractors can work, which would have an adverse effect on the area, which in this case is up hill to the streams.</p> <p>3. What improvements to the green area in the immediate vicinity of the development would the developers provide in order to</p>	<p>the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality in the past and will continue in the future.</p> <p>For example, three pieces of Erf 3535, Secunda Park has in the past been closed and rezoned to make provision for residential development nearby duck pond. Please also refer to Appendix J where the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality provided maps indicating existing Public Open Space development and future development.</p> <p>These developments have proven to be very successful and do not negatively affect the surrounding area or greater open space system. There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>make up for the area which is now lost by the community.</p> <p>4. During the building construction, there will be probably an increase in security risk. How will the developer take measures to protect the existing residence.</p>	<p>and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <p>2. An Environmental Management Programme forms part of the environmental authorisation that stipulates mitigatory measures in detail to be implemented to address / mitigate potential environmental impacts.</p> <p>3. The developer will plant 100 trees in the green strip. The developer will also reroute the affected pathway to allow normal movement through the remaining green strip area.</p> <p>4. During construction a 24 hour security guard will be placed in the area.</p>
Henry Malan	Private	<p>I reject this development as proposed.</p> <p>There are enough accommodation in the</p>	<p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Secunda and surrounding areas, i.e. Terra Nova (Trichardt), Ext 23. I am a home owner in the area and the proposed development would have an impact on security, infrastructure. Also, the green area will be affected and it is important to keep Secunda's parks left untouched / unscathed by human development.</p>	<p>are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p> <p>The proposed residential development is not expected to have a negative impact on the surrounding uses, considering that the majority of uses in the surrounding area are used for residential purposes. Residential uses are in general not considered to be noise or risk associated uses, especially not on the scale and density such as what the envisaged development will entail. As a direct impact of the</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>envisaged development, services will be installed that will contribute in upgrading the service network of the area. The utilisation of 1.08 hectares of open space for residential purposes will have a very limited impact on the larger open space system, which is more than 100 hectares in extent. The proposed development will increase the safety and security as more residents will be living in the area and will contribute towards awareness of criminal or suspected criminal activities. The position of the property will not negatively affect the sunlight of the surrounding properties. Access to the greater remaining open space system will still be possible from Goddefroy Street and Coen Brits Street, and the proposed development will therefore not restrict access to the wider open space system. The creation of a maximum of 12 residential stands will not generate high or excessive amounts of traffic or noise in the operational phase. During the construction phase measures will be implemented as part of the EMPr to mitigate noise and traffic impacts. The provision of residential stands will create new opportunities to address the growing demand and housing backlog in a fast developing area. The envisaged development</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>will provide stands in an upmarket area the will allow a person to build from plan. It also need to be noted that park closure of the area on which the envisaged development footprint is planned for has already been approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality over a year ago resulting in revoking the public status. This process was also advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality.</p> <p>Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p>
Marinus Sieberhagen	Sasol	<p>Sasol would like to voice its objection to the progressive development of the green strips over the last few years, having a significantly negative effect on the original unique design of the Secunda Town. It is observed that a number of sections of the green strips have been sold off, impacting the aesthetics and practicality of the original town design with its open spaces. As case in point is the proposed development of portion 3 of stand 3535, Secunda. As you are aware, the residential property owners in Goddefroy street, Secunda are not satisfied with this</p>	<p>It must be noted that the agreement which was entered between GM and the proponent was prior to any maintenance, rehabilitation or development agreement between Sasol and GM.</p> <p>There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>development. Although the date for objections has passed, Sasol hereby objects on behalf of these owners, specifically for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This portion of land is one of these unique open spaces and should preferably be conserved as an open space for future generations. 2. The open spaces were specifically created for residents and dolerite walkways were provided to give residents the opportunity to use these walkways in the open spaces for walking or jogging. The development of this open space will detrimentally affect these activities. 3. The open spaces were also created 	<p>of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>to enhance fauna and flora in the Secunda area. Development of this open space will have a negative environmental impact on an area with existing trees, plants, numerous bird species and other wildlife.</p> <p>4. The existing stream flowing through this open space will also be ecologically affected should any kind of development be allowed in this area.</p> <p>5. The owners who bought residential property opposite this area bought their houses with the open space in mind. The development of this open space will affect their view and might negatively affect the</p>	<p>portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>2. There was no development by Sasol on this specific area of proposed development Same as for 1</p> <p>3. The footprint of the envisaged development footprint consists out of transformed vegetation resembling a sports field when maintained with no indigenous vegetation remaining. The area is also subjected to frequent disturbance from the movement of vehicles. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) the area does not contain any critical biodiversity areas. The area has also not been identified as being a buffer area of a protected area or an ecological support area. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) there are no irreplaceable natural features or natural features of concern. The large trees on the side of Goddefroy Street will not be removed. Although the trees are not indigenous, there</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>value of their residential property or have a negative impact on the resale of their property.</p> <p>The development of this open space will set an unnecessary precedent as this will be followed by applications from other developers to purchase other open spaces in the remainder of Secunda.</p> <p>In view of the abovementioned considerations, it would be appreciated if the development in this open space (portion 3 of stand 3535, Secunda) could be reconsidered and that any future requests to use the green areas for property development be disallowed. The recent GMM Spatial Planning result indicates adequate land available for high, medium</p>	<p>will also be an effort to incorporate trees where possible into the development footprint. The EMPR includes mitigation measures to address potential impacts resulting from the envisaged development.</p> <p>4. The envisaged development is located outside the 1:100 year floodline and will have limited impact on the current water quality and appearance of the stream. Impacts during the construction and operational phase can be minimal when mitigation measures are implemented.</p> <p>5. Most of the existing houses in Goddefroy Street are facing north (and east), thus meaning that the living areas of these houses mostly face north while garages, bathroom and other rooms face south, towards the envisaged development. The view onto the open space is furthermore limited by two lanes of trees that are located within the municipal road reserve on both sides of Goddefroy Street. These properties do not have direct access to the park as Goddefroy Street needs to be crossed in order to gain access to the open space system. The</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		and low income housing needs that could be developed.	<p>majority of the properties in Goddefroy Street does not have a permanent and unrestricted view on the open space. The development of 12 or less upmarket houses with a value two to three times that of the nearby surrounding houses in the area, are likely to positively impact on the properties in Goddefroy Street. The envisaged development aims to uplift the character of the area and to have a positive impact on the value of the existing properties in Goddefroy Street. The closure of a Portion of Erf 3535, Secunda Extension 7 was advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality in 2011. The application for closure was duly approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality on 27 May 2014. By means of the closure of a portion of the park, its “public status” was removed, and it gained “private status” and providing an opportunity for the area to be rezoned.</p> <p>A precedent will not be set as there are other portions that have been subdivided and already developed. See map indicated as 1, to 5 in</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Objection to the development of open space in general (and specifically Portion 3 of Stand 3535), Secunda</p> <p>Sasol would like to voice its objection to the progressive development of the green strips over the last few years, having a significantly negative effect on the original unique design of the Secunda Town. It is observed that a number of sections of the green strips have been sold off, impacting the aesthetics and practicality of the original town design with its open spaces.</p>	<p>blocks (Appendix J POS maps). POS closure and rezoning already approved and building erected. Important to note that the total open space system in Secunda is under investigation for other portions that meet the above criteria. The open space system is not only in X7 (cannot only look at X7 in isolation).</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Of particular concern is the proposed Secunda Extension 7 Erf 3535 Residential Development, which is in the process of being sold to Picabiz cc by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality for this purpose, but which provides environmental benefits to Secunda which may be lost by the proposed development.</p> <p>The negotiations relating to selling of this property has apparently already commenced in 2010 and is dependent on the rezoning of the property and the approval of the Environmental Authorisation etc. Authorisation has already been obtained for the permanent closure of a portion of the park by Govan</p>	<p>It is an occurrence in Secunda that houses are constructed on both sides of the street where space allows for it and where rezoning has taken place. The proposed development will not change the unique layout of Secunda. The proposed medium density development supports the unique Secunda layout, as compared to high density development or low cost development.</p> <p>On 23 June 2010 the proponent applied to the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality to acquire Erf 3535 (Secunda Extension 7). On 15 October 2010 the proposed sale of the land was published in the Echo Ridge. On 13 October 2011 a deed of sale was signed with the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality. On 16 December 2011 the proposed park closure was advertised in the Echo Ridge. On 27 May 2014 the Govan Mbeki Local</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Mbeki Local Municipality.</p> <p>Sasol contend that it has made a substantial investment of at least R30 million to rehabilitate and maintain the area, of which Erf 3535 forms part, as an important community facility. This was required through a formal agreement between Sasol and the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality whereby Sasol is held to be the responsible party for maintaining the area. As per agreement, funds have again been dedicated by Sasol to uphold the current state of the safety, security and maintenance of the environmental amenity value as part of a long term plan to ensure that Secunda is an attractive place to live in, ensuring suitable skills for Sasol's</p>	<p>municipality issued the proponent with a notice of park closure which allowed the proponent to proceed with the rezoning process. Please refer also to Appendix J.</p> <p>According to information received Sasol's R30 million is used on the total Secunda green strip area. The investment is used for maintenance, grass cutting, fencing and stormwater management. Only grass cutting activities are performed on Secunda X7, Erf 3535.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>operations in Secunda.</p> <p>Concerns regarding the proposed development of the area include but are not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This portion of land in question is one of these unique open spaces and should preferably be conserved as an open space for future generations; 2. As per formal agreement between Sasol and Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, this park has been rehabilitated by Sasol (on-going process) and maintained by Sasol. Therefore development of this park should not be motivated based on the park being maintenance 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted 2. There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>intensive and having challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the area;</p> <p>3. The open spaces were specifically created for residents and dolerite walkways were provided to give residents the opportunity to use these walkways in the open spaces for walking or jogging. From Sasol’s perspective, the development of this open space will detrimentally affect thee activities.</p> <p>4. The open spaces were also created to enhance fauna and flora in the Secunda area. Development of this open space will have a negative environmental impact on an area with existing trees, plants,</p>	<p>development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <p>3. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>numerous bird species and other wildlife; and</p> <p>5. The existing stream flowing through this open space will also be ecologically affected should any kind of development be allowed in the area</p>	<p>portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>4. The footprint of the envisaged development footprint (1.08 ha) consists out of transformed vegetation resembling a sports field when maintained with no indigenous vegetation remaining. The area is also subjected to frequent disturbance from the movement and parking of vehicles. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) the area does not contain any critical biodiversity areas. The area has also not been identified as being a buffer area of a protected area or an ecological support area. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) there are no irreplaceable natural features or natural features of concern.</p> <p>5. An impact assessment was completed where impacts were assessed (given the current ecological status of the area) and mitigation measures proposed which has been discussed in the Draft Basic Assessment Report and the EMPr. A wetland</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Of further concern is that the Draft Basic Assessment Report (DBAR) issued for comment by Baoberry (2015) states that the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality is in the process of closing some parks for future development. The closure of this portion of ERF 3535 in this park could potentially be indicative of the municipality's intent to select this park as one of those to be subject to closure. This, together with the previous closure of portions of ERF 3535 for residential development, opens the distinct possibility for further development on this Erf, and surrounding land.</p> <p>Sasol has approached the Govan Mbeki</p>	<p>delineation study was also completed.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Local Municipality on two separate occasions to determine what the true extent of the planned development on this property will be and whether it is planned that the existing picnic and braai areas will also be developed. To date no response has been received and it can probably be assumed that the remainder of the park area will be developed.</p> <p>Opinion on Impact Assessment</p> <p>Sasol has requested SRK Consulting to provide a technical opinion on the environmental impacts associated with the proposed development.</p> <p>The anticipated environmental impacts of</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>the proposed development from SRKs perspective on the receiving environment have an overall medium significance if only the footprint area associated with this development is considered and appropriate mitigation measures implemented.</p> <p>This will however not be the case should Govan Mbeki Local Municipality proceed to develop the remainder of the area, including the access controlled picnic / braai area based on approval of this current project setting a precedent.</p> <p>Should development of the remainder of the green area(s) proceed, the cumulative impact will be considerably higher especially the social impact and the impact</p>	<p>According to information received Sasol's R30 million is used on the</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>on surface water as wetlands will directly be impacted on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The R30 million Sasol has invested in the park as part of a drive to create an attractive town for current and future employees will be lost should the municipality proceed to develop the remainder of the area. The overall significance post mitigation is rated as high; 2. A precedent is being created by selling off one section of the park, holding a risk for the total open space profile to be developed. The access controlled picnic / braai area are utilised by the local community and should this area be developed, the community will lose the 	<p>total Secunda green strip area. The investment is used for maintenance, grass cutting, fencing and stormwater management. Only grass cutting activities are performed on Secunda X7, Erf 3535.</p> <p>A precedent will not be set as there are other portions that have been subdivided and already developed. See map indicated as 1, to 5 in blocks (Appendix J POS maps). POS closure and rezoning already approved and building erected. Important to note that the total open space system in Secunda is under investigation for other portions that meet the above criteria. The open space system is not only in X7. (cannot only look at X7 in isolation).</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>opportunity to utilise a well-kept recreational area which is maintained by Sasol. The overall post mitigation is rated as high;</p> <p>3. The aesthetics of the open space in the area of development will be altered. The overall significance post mitigation is rated as medium to high; and</p> <p>4. The most significant impacts are likely to be associated with the potential destruction of instream and riparian habitat, the risk of modifying natural / pre-development flow characteristics at river / stream crossings. Sedimentation and erosion risks are also likely to be problematic. The</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>overall significance post mitigation is rated as medium to high as it is highly unlikely that the destruction of wetlands can be avoided.</p> <p>Since portions of Erf 3535 has already been closed and in the process of being sold and turned into residential developments, a precedent has been set that allows for the further closing and development of portions of Erf 3535, as is the case of this application. Even if the portions will not be closed and sold for residential development, it may be used for commercial purposes.</p> <p>SRK Consulting is therefore of the opinion that the development of this proposed area</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>not be evaluated in isolation as is currently the case.</p> <p>Comment on the Draft Basic Assessment Report</p> <p>SRK conducted a high level review on the Draft Basic Assessment Report (DBAR) issued by Baoberry for public comment. Based on the available information downloaded from Baoberry's website, a number of concerns have been identified as part of the technical processed followed as well as the public participation process, which could potentially the Environmental Authorisation Process.</p> <p>The following comments can be made:</p>	<p>It is recommended that Sasol perhaps establish a formal forum to discuss the future of Green Belt area as with the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality in a more formal and structured way.</p> <p>This application for an environmental authorisation is only applicable to Secunda X7, Erf 3535 with a footprint of approximately 1.08 hectares and does not include other areas.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The No-Go option should be honestly evaluated and both the positive and the negative impacts of the No-Go option assessed. 2. Cumulative impacts have not been assessed. Of further concern is that the DBAR issued states that the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality is in the process of closing some parks for future development. The closure of this portion of Erf 3535 in this park could potentially be indicative of the municipality's intent to select this park as one of those to be subject to closure. This, together with the previous closure of portions of Erf 3535 for residential development, opens the 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The No-Go option is included in the Draft Basic Assessment Report. 2. The cumulative impacts are included in the Draft Basic Assessment Report. 3. This application for an environmental authorisation is only applicable to Secunda X7, Erf 3535 with a footprint of 1.08 hectares and does not include other areas. The main impact assessment is based on this footprint area. It is not clear whether more areas will be developed in Secunda Extension 7. According to information received Sasol's R30 million is used on the total Secunda green strip area. The investment is used for maintenance, grass cutting, fencing and stormwater management. Only grass cutting activities are performed on Secunda X7, Erf 3535. 4. No person's rights will be negatively affected. The potential impacts on the landowners in Goddefroy Street have been assessed and are included in the impact assessment. 5. The benefits have been elaborated upon based on work done

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>distinct possibility for further development on this Erf, and surrounding land with cumulative detrimental impact on the environmental amenity value and ecological value of the area.</p> <p>3. It is stated that one of the benefits of proceeding with the development include: “addressing park maintenance and infrastructure”. It is requested that more details be provided as to how this will be achieved? Sasol has made a substantial investment of at least R30 million to rehabilitate and maintain the area, of which Erf 335 forms part, as an important community facility, as required</p>	<p>by Afriplan Town Planners and is included in the Basic Assessment Report.</p> <p>6. The proponent has already incurred expenses and there are no alternatives available to the proponent. There are two layout alternatives included in the Basic Assessment Report.</p> <p>7. It must be noted that Sasol has not agreed an evergreen contact to maintain the GM parks. The main motivation is not related to only maintenance challenges experienced by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>through a formal agreement between Sasol and the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality whereby Sasol is held to be the responsible party for maintaining the area. As per agreement, funds have again been committed by Sasol to uphold the current state of the safety, security and maintenance of the environmental amenity value;</p> <p>4. The negative impact on the rights of people has not been adequately addressed. No mention has been made to the financial support provided to this area by Sasol which could potentially be lost, neither has the impact on the development on adjacent</p>	<p>for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked. Jaco, do you want to add?</p> <p>8. This section of land (Erf 3535, Secunda X7) provides stands where potential homeowners can develop from plan.</p> <p>9. There are benefits associated with the envisaged development. These benefits are discussed in the Draft Basic Assessment Document.</p> <p>10. On 16 December 2011 the proposed park closure was advertised in the Echo Ridge. On 27 May 2014 the Govan Mbeki Local municipality issued the proponent with a notice of park closure which allowed the proponent to proceed with the rezoning process. Please refer also to Appendix J. The outcome</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>landowners acknowledged as being important.</p> <p>5. Benefits associated with this project have not been substantiated and the benefits listed does not make sense, i.e. protection of adjacent homes</p> <p>6. As the property has not been sold yet, the motivation for not assessing other alternative sites cannot be substantiated.</p> <p>7. The proposed development is being motivated from a point of Govan Mbeki Local Municipality's inability to maintain parks, which is not the case for the park in question as Sasol is responsible for rehabilitation and maintenance of</p>	<p>of the rezoning application is still awaited.</p> <p>11. This application for an environmental authorisation is only applicable to Secunda X7, Erf 3535 with a footprint of 1.08 hectares and does not include other areas. Please also refer to Appendix J where the Local Municipality provided maps of Public Open Space Developments.</p> <p>12. Noted</p> <p>13. It is acknowledged in the draft Basic Assessment Report that wetlands are present in the area adjacent to the site. There is not a wetland present on the development footprint. Under Part 11 : Applicable legislation, policies and / or guidelines reference was made to the National Water Act as applicable legislation, policies and/or guidelines of any sphere of government that are applicable to the application as contemplated in the EIA regulations.</p> <p>14. It is acknowledged in the draft Basic Assessment Report that wetlands are present in the area adjacent to the site, see Section B, Part 5. Based on the information generated in the</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>the park.</p> <p>8. The recent Govan Mbeki Municipality Spatial Planning result indicates adequate land available for high, medium and low income housing needs that could potentially be developed.</p> <p>9. The benefits of the proposed development to the surrounding community (Part 10 (10)) is questionable as they include protection of adjacent homes, control of traffic and promotion of mixed land use patterns.</p> <p>10. The applicant indicated that the activity is permitted in terms of the property's existing land use rights (Part 10(1) of the DBAR). The</p>	<p>Specialist study completed (Appendix D) the wetland area is classified as a highly altered channelled valley bottom wetland. The associated floodplain consists of a seasonal wetland zone with soils of the Rensburg form. Refer to section 5.7 of the specialist report for the reason for the classification of these soils as "seasonal wetland".</p> <p>Input will be obtained from the Department of Water Affairs concerning licencing requirements.</p> <p>The wetland / watercourse to the south of the investigation site is situated in an urban context with the associated urban infrastructure impacts on storm water and soil and flow alteration.</p> <p>Additionally, the site is situated in a land type which is dominated by marginalitic soils. The delineation of wetlands on these soils poses problems as the soils do not exhibit the specific redox morphology associated with wetlands as</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>rezoning of the area from open space for medium density residential development purposes has however not been approved yet.</p> <p>11. The argument for the proposed development that only 1.4ha will be removed from the estimated 100 hectares of parks in Secunda is made redundant by the assertion that the municipality is in the process of closing off and selling park property for development, which is a continuation of the precedent set by previous closing and selling of park land. This statement will need to be aligned with the overall proposed</p>	<p>described in the wetland delineation guidelines (DWAF, 2005).</p> <p>15. The Environmental Management Programme Report provides more detail on potential impacts. Please also refer to the updated Draft Basic Assessment Report.</p> <p>16. Please refer to the updated Draft Basic Assessment Report.</p> <p>17. Input will be obtained from the Department of Water Affairs concerning licensing requirements.</p> <p>18. Potential impacts concerning the construction phase were included in the impact assessment with specific reference to the potential to impact i.e. the watercourse. Please refer to the Draft Basic Assessment Report.</p> <p>19. It is a non-perennial river.</p> <p>20. Please refer to the recalculated floodline drawings and document.</p> <p>21. Please refer to the re-computed floodline drawings and document.</p> <p>22. Same as for 21</p> <p>23. Flood protection measures will have to be included with both</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>development and not been seen in isolation.</p> <p>12. Part 10 (14) indicates that this proposed development contributes to Strategic Integrated Project (SIP)</p> <p>7. Based on the description of SIP 7 in the final Presidential Infrastructure Coordinating Commission (PICC) published in 2012 this development does not contribute to this SIP and is in all probability not registered as a SIP; “SIP 7: Integrated urban space and public transport programme: Coordinate planning and implementation of public transport, human settlement, economic and social infrastructure and location</p>	<p>layout alternatives.</p> <p>24. A stormwater plan will have to be submitted to the CA before construction of the proposed development commences.</p> <p>25. Included in the draft basic assessment documentation.</p> <p>26. Details of EAP included in application forms on the draft basic assessment report and the EMPr. Please also refer to the website for the sensitivity maps.</p> <p>27. The draft EMPr published reflects the mitigation measures identified specific to the nature of impacts identified.</p> <p>28. Various mitigation measures are suggested for potential impacts. It is also recommended that an Environmental Control Officer (ECO) be appointed (as per draft EMPr) by the proponent (Picabiz 160 CC) to assume responsibility for ensuring the environmental management measures contained in this document are implemented during construction and operational of activities. Various alien plant control mitigation measures have been recommended. The ECO must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Know the background to the project and monitor the

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>decisions into sustainable urban settlements connected by densified transport corridors. This will focus on the 12 largest urban centres of the country, including all the metros in South Africa. Significant work is underway on urban transport integration”.</p> <p>13. No mention has been made to the requirements of a Water Use License (WUL) in terms of the National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998 as amended) throughout the Draft Basic Assessment Report (DBAR). Water use includes activities, which reduce stream flow and altering a watercourse (Section 21 (c) and (i) water uses). GN 1199 Published on</p>	<p>implementation of the EMP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Act as a guide, advisor and consultant to the project manager and contractors on environmental issues during construction, implementation and rehabilitation - Arrange for a post-construction audit, followed by regular auditing of environmental performance to ensure continued compliance with the EMP - Identify non-compliances and problem areas, and provide action plans to avoid costly stoppages and / or further environmental damage - Ensure that open communication lines exist for reporting of any significant environmental incidents to the Mpumalanga Department of Agriculture and Land Administration and to resolve any problems or complaints from the public rapidly - Propose changes (for approval) to the EMP as necessary. Update the EMP on a regular basis in consultation with the neighbouring property owners and all affected stakeholders - Ensure that all environmental permitting requirements are

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>18 December 2009 allows for general authorisations in terms of Section 21(c) and (i) water uses to be issued by the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS). The notice further states that if the water use is stated S21 (c) and (i) takes place within 500m from a wetland then a WUL will be applicable and general authorisation is not applicable. Of concern is that Baoberry doesn't acknowledge the presence of wetlands in the area. Wetlands were clearly visible (identified by vegetation) during the site visit and located within 500 m of the proposed development. Unless</p>	<p>met</p> <p>The ECO shall review the environmental management performance of the Contractors on a regular basis. The Contractors shall be deemed not to have complied with the environmental mitigation measures if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The measures of the EMP have not been met; - There is evidence of negligence or recklessness resulting in the contravention of any of the clauses, both within and outside the boundaries of the construction site; - The contractor fails to comply with corrective action or other instructions from the ECO or project manager - The contractor fails to respond to complaints from the public. These complaints will be communicated to the contractor via the ECO or project manager; - The contractor's staff found poaching, harvesting plants or entering neighbouring areas.

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Baoberry can motivate to DWS that this development does not constitute a water use, it will require a WUL being located within 500m of wetlands.</p> <p>14. Where proposed developments are located within close proximity of wetlands, it is expected that inputs from a wetland specialist be obtained to determine the potential impact of the development on the wetlands. The presence of wetlands in the area has not been acknowledged in the DBAR.</p> <p>15. Potential impacts associated with the proposed development have not been appropriately identified</p>	<p>It has also been recommended that potential erosion and silt migration need to be controlled. This can be controlled by means of silt fences which is a very practical mitigation measure to limit silt down washing.</p> <p>Traffic calming measures can include flagmen and signage which is not impractical.</p> <p>It is also not impractical to establish a forum to be established for communication purposes between the proponent and the nearby affected residents. This forms part of open liaison channels that need to be established between the Proponent, the Contractors and Interested and Affected Parties, so that any queries, complaints or suggestions can be dealt with quickly and by the appropriate person(s). The ECO shall establish a complaints register to record / register all complaints relating to the Activities. The ECO shall develop a protocol relating to the steps that would be followed once a</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>(cognisance has been taken that the impacts have been listed in more depth in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)).</p> <p>The nature of the different impacts has not been described nor have impacts associated with specific activities been identified. Impacts have been grouped at a very high level i.e. “impact on flora, fauna and biodiversity”. When describing the nature of an impact there will be an activity leading to the impact, i.e. “an increase in alien plant species leading to altered plant community structure and composition”. Specific mitigation measures van then be</p>	<p>complaint has been received. The protocol shall cover at least the following steps: registration, investigation, reporting, follow-up action and close out. This protocol shall be maintained by the operator once the Activity is operational.</p> <p>29. Any grey water generated will need to feed into existing infrastructure that is ultimately treated by an operational sewage treatment plant. It is not the case that a sewage treatment facility will be established to treat these small quantities generated on site. Chemical toilets will also be placed on site. This mitigation measure is included so as to ensure that no grey water is disposed of directly into the environment.</p> <p>30. This was corrected.</p> <p>31. Included in the draft basic assessment report</p> <p>32. Please refer to the utility approval letter in Appendix J. The landfill site applicable is the Secunda landfill site.</p> <p>33. Councillor Pierre Bosch as Ward Councillor has been included.</p> <p>34. Please refer to Appendix E.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>implemented to reduce the associated risk associated with the impact.</p> <p>16. The potential biophysical and social impacts of the proposed development did not consider whether an impact will be direct or indirect.</p> <p>17. It is expected that these activities will occur within 500m of the wetlands in the area which could trigger the need for a WUL.</p> <p>18. Activities have been mentioned in the EMPr, i.e. fuel storage, laydown areas etc. which were not assessed as part of the impact assessment. In addition, the potential need for WULs associated with these</p>	<p>35. Details of authority participation is included in the draft basic assessment report. There are no cultural or historic signs / structures present on the site and hence no need identified to consult with SAHRA.</p> <p>36. As clearly indicated on the original application forms submitted and acknowledgement letter receive from the Department the Competent Authority is the Mpumalanga Department Agriculture, Rural Development, Land and Environmental Affairs. The Mpumalanga Department does not have a prescribed template. The template used for this Basic Assessment process is based on the available template on the Department of Environmental Affairs that is used for Environmental Authorisation applications.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>activities have not been considered as these activities are likely to occur within 500m of the wetlands in the area.</p> <p>19. The surface water resource associated with the site is described a perennial river, but this is doubtful as the bed of the water course was dry during the site visit conducted in December 2015.</p> <p>20. The 1:100 year floodline on the drawing number : Sub-Erf 3535, Sec X7 (Afriplan) refers. The basis on which the floodline delineation was done is questioned. Floodlines should run on the opposite sides of water courses i.e. rivers/dams and not cross these. Floodlines should</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>also follow contour lines. The 1:100 year floodline delineated by Afriplan, crosses the river located to the south of the proposed development and is appearing on opposite side of the river again just before it flows into the dam. The floodline also crosses the river to the south-east of the development. The floodline crosses the same contour line in many areas. The floodlines then continues to run through the middle of the dam. This is hydraulically incorrect.</p> <p>21. Due to the close proximity of the development to the river system (approximately 66m from the river directly south and approximately</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>133m from the dam to the east of the development) and associated wetlands it is important to re-compute a more reliable 1:100 year floodline to determine whether the development will fall within the 1:100 year floodline.</p> <p>22. The proposed development lies downstream of the spillway of the dam. The potential risk associated with flooding during 1:100 year flood event was not quantified appropriately. In addition the potential risk associated with dam wall failure has not been assessed.</p> <p>23. Depending on the potential risk associated with flooding, there may be a need for flood protection</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>measures for the housing.</p> <p>24. Although mention has been made to the management of stormwater, a formal stormwater management plan should be developed for the proposed development.</p> <p>25. It is stated in the DBAR that “The services and infrastructure of the proposed development will join existing services and infrastructure already in place”. No details were provided as to the infrastructure required to enable joining of the services and infrastructure. As such, the impacts associated with joining the services and infrastructure have not been assessed in detail.</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>26. Appendix 4 of GN R982 (4 December 2014) stipulates the content of an Environmental Management Programme (EMPr). Various requirements of Appendix 4 have not been met in the EMPr, i.e.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Details of the EAP who prepared the EMPr; - Expertise of EAP, including curriculum vitae; - Map at an appropriate scale which superimpose the proposed activity, its associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site, including areas that 	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>should be avoided i.e. wetlands, including buffers, etc.</p> <p>27. Mitigation measures have been identified at a high level and are not specific to the nature of the impact.</p> <p>28. Some of the mitigation measures proposed in Section D are impractical and are unlikely to be implemented as intended, which may prevent mitigation of the impacts associated with the proposed development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure that all important materials are free of alien vegetation / seeds and that all vehicles and machinery are 	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>cleaned prior to accessing construction areas;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Any water released from the construction area to the natural water body will be treated suitably prior to discharge, e.g. filtering through hay bales; - Traffic calming measures to be implemented (unlikely to be implemented in a residential area on a road that is not a throughfare); - A forum to be established for communication purposes between the proponent and the nearby affected residents. <p>29. The EMPr states that “Grey water”</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>from kitchens, showers, sinks etc, shall be diverted to and treated at a sewage treatment facility. It is doubtful that a sewage treatment facility will be set up for such small development. Should this however be the case, the impacts associated with such facility have not been assessed.</p> <p>30. Part 2(a) of the DBAR indicated co-ordinates for a linear structure but no linear structure has been included in the project description;</p> <p>31. The estimated quantities of solid waste to be generated during construction and operational phase has not been included.</p> <p>32. No indication is provided on which</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>landfill site will be used for the disposal of construction solid waste and whether the landfill site has the capacity to receive the solid waste to be generated by the development or not.</p> <p>33. The list of key stakeholders in Section C does not include the Ward Councillor;</p> <p>34. Copies of the supporting documents and inputs to stakeholder engagement should be included as part of the DBAR. The following information has not been provided as part of the stakeholder engagement process followed and therefore it could not be verified that the correct processes were</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>followed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interested and Affected Parties (I and APs) database; - Proof of site notices placed; - Proof of communications issued as part of this process <p>35. Authority participation did not include the MDARDLEA, South African Heritage Resource Agency (SAHRA), Mpumalanga Tourism and Parks Agency (MTPA) or the Gert Sibande District Municipality. These are key stakeholders in the stakeholder engagement process and could potentially flaw this process.</p> <p>36. Based on the project description and a private company i.e. Picabiz</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>160cc is the applicant, it is doubtful that the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) is the Competent Authority for the Environmental Authorisation application. If the application was submitted to the Mpumalanga Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Land and Environmental Affairs (MDARDLEA) as competent authority, the DBAR should have been completed on the MDARDLEA template and not the DEA template.</p> <p>In view of the abovementioned considerations and the considerable investment made, Sasol would appreciate if</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>the development in this open space (portion 3 of stand 3535, Secunda) could be reconsidered and that any future requests to use the green areas for property development be discouraged.</p>	
Susan Spies	Private	<p>Please take note that the residents of this area object in writing to the selling and development of the specific land during January and February 2013. See Attachment 4. In this objection we strongly recommended that due to the biodiversity and sensitivity of the park area, it should be protected. – Not closed. Govan Mbeki Municipality had not attended to any of our concerns. Not did they supplied the environmental impact study as asked for. It seems that the selling of the park area took place before the environmental study</p>	<p>There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns. The footprint</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>was conducted.</p> <p>Concerns and objections to the development of Erf 3535, Ext 7, Secunda</p> <p>Our beautiful park area:</p> <p>1. Willow Trees</p> <p>Although Willow trees are not indigenous to South Africa, the trees add to the sense of pride, natural beauty and part of the heart of Secunda for ± 40 years. The roots of these trees also help with the filtering of water and much needed oxygen.</p> <p>It is general knowledge that the aggressive growing roots of the Willow trees can wind around sewer pipes and can cause a great deal of damage. So I assume that not only the trees in the marked area will be removed, but once the development had been approved it will definitely lead to the</p>	<p>of the envisaged development footprint consists out of transformed vegetation resembling a sports field when maintained with no indigenous vegetation remaining. The area is also subjected to frequent disturbance from the movement of vehicles. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) the area does not contain any critical biodiversity areas. The area has also not been identified as being a buffer area of a protected area or an ecological support area. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) there are no irreplaceable natural features or natural features of concern.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The large trees on the side of Goddefroy Street will not be removed. Although the trees are not indigenous, there will also be an effort to incorporate trees where possible into the development footprint. 2. Same response as for 1 above. 3. The footprint of the envisaged development footprint consists out of transformed vegetation resembling a sports field when maintained with no indigenous vegetation remaining. The area

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>removal of all trees directly on the waterfront. This will be the long term result.</p> <p>The developer gives the false sense of assurance that only a part of the park will be affected, but choose not give full future implications.</p> <p>2. Evergreen trees in Goddefroy street Will any of the current evergreen trees in Goddefroy Street be removed? Goddefroy Street is one of the few streets in Secunda with evergreen trees.</p> <p>3. Biodiversity “Go green” - “Protect the ecosystems” Worldwide there is a call to protect ecosystems. Kindly see Attachments 1, 2, 3. The total pollution and noise during building activities will have an effect on</p>	<p>is also subjected to frequent disturbance from the movement of vehicles. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) the area does not contain any critical biodiversity areas. The area has also not been identified as being a buffer area of a protected area or an ecological support area.</p> <p>According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) there are no irreplaceable natural features or natural features of concern.</p> <p>4. Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>ecosystem and also disturb owls sleeping in this area during day time.</p> <p>The water in the stream can be polluted due to washing of cars and other domestic activities.</p> <p>Kindly see Attachments 1, 2, 3.</p> <p>4. Currently no housing need in Secunda According to Private Property webpage the number of houses for sale in the Secunda area had increase since the middle of 2015 from more than 600 to more than 900 and as on today 22 November 2015 more than a thousand houses. These properties provide a variety from low cost flats to exclusively privately built houses, include different areas and make provision for variety property needs. There is a definite increase in houses for sale. Also see</p>	<p>existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p> <p>5. Most of the existing houses in Goddefroy Street are facing north (and east), thus meaning that the living areas of these houses mostly face north while garages, bathroom and other rooms face south, towards the envisaged development. The view onto the open space is furthermore limited by two lanes of trees that are located within the municipal road reserve on both sides of Goddefroy Street. These properties do not have direct access to the park as Goddefroy Street needs to be crossed in order to gain access to the open space system. The majority of the properties in Goddefroy Street does not have a permanent and unrestricted view on the open space.</p> <p>6. As a direct impact of the envisaged development, services will be installed that will contribute in upgrading the service network of the area. The utilisation of 1.08 hectares of open</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>attachment 6 for houses / stands for sale in the Secunda, Evander, Kinross and Trichardt areas.</p> <p>5. The View We bought our house in 2002 to have view over the park area. My office window overlooks the park area; I spend up to 6 – 8 hours per day in this area. Only three houses in Goddefroy Street overlooking the park area do not have windows facing the park area. Development will block our beautiful view over the park.</p> <p>6. Entrance to the park area and public safety Although the park area will be accessible (according to developer) (for pedestrians only?) from Coen Brits and Goddefroy</p>	<p>space for residential purposes will have a very limited impact on the larger open space system, which is more than 100 hectares in extent. The proposed development will increase the safety and security as more residents will be living in the area and will contribute towards awareness of criminal or suspected criminal activities. Access to the greater remaining open space system will still be possible from Goddefroy Street and Coen Brits Street, and the proposed development will therefore not restrict access to the wider open space system. It also need to be noted that park closure of the area on which the envisaged development footprint is planned for has already been approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality over a year ago resulting in revoking the public status. This process was also advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality.</p> <p>7. Noted</p> <p>8. The floodline has been recalculated and drawings / layouts amended.</p> <p>9. On 23 June 2010 the proponent applied to the Govan Mbeki</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>streets – where should the visitors park their cars - in Coen Brits street? How safe will this area be for school pupils, pedestrians, walkers, joggers, cyclists, photographers, fishermen, etc., during construction and building time?</p> <p>Development will block the current open view – which makes it definitely unsafe.</p> <p>7. Maintaining the area</p> <p>Larger park area: grass being cut regularly – thanks to the Sasol initiative. The park area is neat. Govan Mbeki residents pay high service fees. Govan Mbeki Municipality has no excuse not to maintain the area.</p> <p>8. Flood line</p> <p>I question the validation of the flood line, as I had seen and experienced the effect of rain storms and hail storms in this area</p>	<p>Local Municipality to acquire Erf 3535 (Secunda Extension 7).</p> <p>On 15 October 2010 the proposed sale of the land was published in the Echo Ridge. On 13 October 2011 a deed of sale was signed with the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality. On 16 December 2011 the proposed park closure was advertised in the Echo Ridge. On 27 May 2014 the Govan Mbeki Local municipality issued the proponent with a notice of park closure which allowed the proponent to proceed with the rezoning process. Please refer also to Appendix J. An Environmental Authorisation together with an Environmental Impact Study is not required in order to sell land. As indicated on the advertised notice of 23 October 2015 advertised in the Ridge Times, site notices and letters to bordering residents, the commencement of the environmental impact assessment process was announced.</p> <p>10. There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>since 2002.</p> <p>9. Selling of land Was the environmental study completed before the selling of land? Was the Secunda residents informed about the selling of land?</p> <p>10. Availability of land There are stands available in Extensions 22 and 23.</p> <p>11. Parking space for church We have counted more than 90 cars on this park area during a community activity during October 2015. Cars will have to park on the Coen Brits Street and block traffic if this development takes place. It is general knowledge in Secunda that the residents make use of park area during funerals, church activities and when community</p>	<p>rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <p>11. There is a big piece of vacant land available directly opposite the street from the church that is used for parking apart from the church's own parking space. It is also a requirement for each land owner to ensure that sufficient parking space is available on the property itself, to accommodate the development and use of the land. The provision of parking</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>groups make use of church facilities. There is no other parking space available</p> <p>12. Govan Mbeki Municipality</p> <p>On the 5th of February 2013 the Municipal Manager, MF Mahlangu replied to Mr Chester (attorney) after our objections to the selling and development of this area, by promising to investigate the matter.</p> <p>Instead of answering our questions Govan Mbeki Municipality assist the developer to “obtain permanent closure of a park known as erf 3535 ”.</p> <p>Aangeheg 'n afskrif van een van die twee facebook bladsye met bykans 500 "likes" op. Die blad is in 2013 geskep, dit was 'n tyd lank onaktief aangesien iemand dit probeer blok het.</p>	<p>spaces is enforced by the Municipality in accordance with their land use scheme on each development.</p> <p>12. Noted.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Die tweede blad het 500 "likes" op. Ek het die skakels aan jou op Facebook deurgegee.</p> <p>Ek het nog nie tyd gehad om volledig deur jou dokumente te werk nie, aangesien my man dit direk na die KRUIK-vergadering aangevra het, en dit eers in Januarie deurgegee is.</p> <p>Aangesien jy vir meer as 'n maand nie terugvoering gegee het nie, dink ek dis billik dat jy ons 'n maand uitstel op terugvoering kan gee.</p> <p>Ek vind dit ook onbillik dat niemand meer toegelaat is om as betrokke party kon registreer nadat jy die verslag op die webblad geplaas het nie.</p> <p>Ek bevraagteken die objektiwiteit van Baoberri.</p>	<p>The Draft Basic Assessment Report was made available on 24 November on a public website. You also attended the meeting at Kruiik on 25 November 2015 where this was confirmed. The availability detail of the Draft Basic Assessment Report was also made available on site notices, letters to Goddefroy Street Residents and in the newspaper advert of the Ridge published on 23 October.</p> <p>A period of 30 days was made available where registrations were invited for all Interested and Affected Parties to register. This</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>Kan jy asseblief vir ons kontaknommers deurgee waar ons kan navraag doen hieroor? Ons het die vraag ook geopper tydens die vergadering by KRUIK.</p> <p>Interessant en opvallend dat op die lys van persone wat die ontwikkeling steun dieselfde vanne verskyn, bv.</p> <p>5 x De Waal 3 x Smith, ens., ens.</p> <p>Ons as inwoners sou verwag dat die munisipaliteit se prokureur onbetrokke en objektief sou wees by so 'n ontwikkeling.</p> <p>Daar is ook geen adresse of telefoonnommers van die ondersteuners van die ontwikkeling nie.</p> <p>Bloot op die vanne maak ek die aanname dat daar geen direkte inwoner deel</p>	<p>information was contained on site notices, letters to Goddefroy Street Residents and in the newspaper advert of the Ridge on 23 October. Key dates: registration as I&APs: Wednesday, 21 October 2015 – Monday, 23 November 2015. A second phase then commenced where the Draft Basic Assessment Report was published which allowed all Interested and Affected Parties to provide comment on the report. Commenting period on draft Basic Assessment Report: Tuesday, 24 November 2015 –Wednesday, 13 January 2016.</p> <p>The complete file with all registrations from I and APs received up to 24 November 2015 was made available at the meeting on 25 November 2015.</p> <p>The Database of Interested and Affected Parties has been made available.</p> <p>Everyone that registered in the open registration process was placed into the Interested and Affected Parties database. No person can be</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>van die groep persone ten gunste van die ontwikkeling is nie.</p> <p>Hoeveel huisgesinne op die lys van ondersteuners van die ontwikkeling word direk geaffekteer deur die ontwikkeling van erf 3535 X7?</p> <p>Ek wil dit ook duidelik stel dat die persone ten gunste van die ontwikkeling moontlik die probleme soos deur hulle geopper by Kuscke Park ondervind, maar ons as direkte inwoners wat direk oorkant erf 3535 bly (ons spesifiek sedert 2002) het nog NOOIT enige van die probleme ondervind het nie. Dit is dus nie geldig vir X7, erf 3535 nie. X7, Erf 3535 word gebruik deur die gemeenskap vir ontspanning, ens, ens, asook goed instandgehou. Interessant</p>	<p>removed from the database otherwise it will not be an honest reflection of the complete registered I and AP database.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>dat indien die munisipaliteit na hulle klagtes as nie-direkte betrokkenes luister, maar direkte betrokkenes se beware van die tafel vee, ignoreer en ontvangs van besware ontken.</p> <p>Mnr. Marinus Sieberhagen het ook tydens die vergadering by KRUIK genoem dat Kuscke Park wel beskikbaar is as alternatief vir ontwikkeling. Ek ondersteun die ontwikkeling van Kuscke Park en het simpatie met die direkte inwoners daar indien die probleme soos deur hulle geopper wel daar voorkom.</p> <p>Dis 'n logiese keuse om Kuske Park te ontwikkel - dit weet almal wat in Secunda bly.</p> <p>Ek wil dit bevestig dat al my besware soos geopper in die skrywe van 2013</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>(soos geignoreer deur die munisipaliteit) asook die besware wat ek by die munisipaliteit ingehandig het 2015 - waarvan ek 'n getekende kopie het - maar die munisipaliteit ontvangs van ontken het (op die manier verskeie van die belanghebbendes uitgesluit het van die vergadering met die ontwikkelaar), asook alle besware soos deurgegee aan Baoberry in November 2015 nogsteeds my besware is. Ek wil weer bevestig dat ons hierdie huis en erf gekoop het in 2002 hoofsaaklik omdat dit 'n uitsig oor die park area het, en ek wil ook hiermee noem dat net 3 huise oorkant erf 3535 nie vensters het wat direk op die park uitkyk nie. Niemand in Goddefroy straat, oorkant Erf 3535 steun die ontwikkeling nie. - Soos vervat in</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>besware sedert 2013.</p> <p>Daar is nie 'n tekort aan behuising in Secunda nie. Ek gee met graagte die voorlegging deur. Verskeie ander foto's word ook aangeheg.</p>	
Jasper Coetzee	Private	<p>There is no need to build houses in the green area. There are many houses for sale/rent in Secunda, and lots of already available areas to build in Ext 22. Terra Nova in Trichardt is also expanding.</p> <p>Building / damaging the green area is not necessary.</p>	<p>There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality, follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns. Open space areas, where it is maintained, is utilised by the community for a variety of uses. The envisaged development area comprises of only a small part (approx. 1.08 hectares) of the greater open space system which is more than 100 hectares in extent and will still be accessible to the greater community. The area on which the envisaged development is planned for is rarely used for any activities because it does not comprise of the characteristics of an “active functional open space”. The envisaged development footprint offers no play areas, picnic or braai facilities except for a footpath of approximately 60 meters in length that can be diverted and relocated. The general upkeep of the area does not allow comfortable and convenient public access due to maintenance constraints at some times. It should also be noted that the portion of the park, comprising of the envisaged footprint of the development, has already been closed by the Municipality resulting in the public use of the park being revoked.</p> <p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>
Marlene van der Linde		<p>I see in the report, in states that no specialists were involved. With regards to the National Water Act, has it been established by a specialist that there is not a wetland in that area? Mpumalanga is noted for being littered with wetlands. A</p>	<p>A wetland delineation study was completed. Based on the information generated in the Specialist study completed (Appendix D) the wetland area is classified as a highly altered channelled valley bottom wetland. The associated floodplain consists of a seasonal wetland zone with soils of the Rensburg form. Refer to section 5.7 of the specialist report for the reason for the classification of these soils as “seasonal wetland”.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>21(c) and (i) WUL application would then be needed for being within 500m of a wetland. Also, this seems to be the case, as the sensitivity map shows that the development overlaps the national park and protected areas. Therefore, please provide a specialist study (with site visit and soil samples) to prove that this area is not a wetland. Additionally, how close is the development to the watercourse? If it is within 32m, then this listed activity must also be applied for in the BA.</p> <p>The report also states that there is a lack of housing in the area and brushes off I&AP questions in that regard. The answer to the I&AP question wasn't answered, and merely stating that the Municipality says so, without proof, is not very convincing.</p>	<p>The wetland / watercourse to the south of the investigation site is situated in an urban context with the associated urban infrastructure impacts on storm water and soil and flow alteration.</p> <p>Additionally, the site is situated in a land type which is dominated by marginalitic soils. The delineation of wetlands on these soils poses problems as the soils do not exhibit the specific redox morphology associated with wetlands as described in the wetland delineation guidelines (DWAF, 2005). Department of Water Affairs will be consulted concerning licensing requirements.</p> <p>The footprint of the envisaged development footprint consists out of transformed vegetation (that includes non-indigenous vegetation) resembling a sports field when maintained with no indigenous vegetation remaining. The stream is non-perennial. The area is also subjected to frequent disturbance from the movement of vehicles.</p> <p>According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) the area does not contain any critical biodiversity areas. The area has also not been identified as being a buffer area of a protected area or an</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		<p>These houses will only be affordable by the very high income bracket, and doesn't help with the housing problem of medium to medium-lower class segment of the population that really do need houses. Please explain in detail how these very expensive houses will help those in need the most, in the questions section, as well as the need and desirability section (page 11, for example).</p> <p>Page 12, nr 7 - Really?? National importance? A few construction jobs for a few months? I think you are reaching a bit here, trying to put anything in here to convince the Authorities. Please explain in detail why this specific project is so much more important in the National scheme of things to merit this kind of an endorsement</p>	<p>ecological support area. According to the Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) there are no irreplaceable natural features or natural features of concern.</p> <p>Secunda has a healthy property market. Although there might be a large number of houses in the market, very few large full title stands are available for a person to design and develop his / her own house from a plan. There is limited access to upmarket properties (R4 million plus) which the proposed development targets. The proposed development is aimed at a different market than what Secunda currently provides and provides a unique opportunity for property investment. There exists opportunity for various prospecting buyers whom wish to design and build their own houses rather than to modify an existing Sasol house. There is limited land available in Secunda for people seeking to undertake these type of developments, while the envisaged development offers a unique opportunity to address this gap in the market.</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response															
		<p>from the consultants?</p> <p>Page 12, nr 9 - The best environmental option here is to leave the wetland and watercourse alone.</p> <p>Page 13, nr 10 - Protect the current houses? Control traffic? Really??</p> <p>Page 13, nr 11 - The development will help with safety concerns? Will certainly make it worse, as only the really rich can live there and attract more criminals. And here the Municipality admits that it can't police its own town and would rather sell bits of it off – shame on them to make money this way.</p> <p>Page 14, nr 12 – Of course the rights of the people that live next to the proposed development, that doesn't want it there</p> <p>Page 24, nr 4 – This is not a sportsfield! A</p>	<p>This document is the issues and response report that will be submitted with the final documentation to the competent Authority.</p> <p>The socio-economic detail of the project is as follows:</p> <p>Socio-economic value of the activity</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1816 791 2018 1337"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 791 1809 895">What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion?</td> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="1816 791 2018 895">R 51 900 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 895 1809 1007">What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as a result of the activity?</td> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="1816 895 2018 1007">R 2 166 450</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 1007 1809 1118">Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure?</td> <td data-bbox="1816 1007 1912 1118">YES X</td> <td data-bbox="1912 1007 2018 1118">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 1118 1809 1174">Is the activity a public amenity?</td> <td data-bbox="1816 1118 1912 1174">YES</td> <td data-bbox="1912 1118 2018 1174">NO X</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 1174 1809 1337">How many new employment opportunities will be created in the development and construction phase of the activity/ies?</td> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="1816 1174 2018 1337">45</td> </tr> </table>	What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion?	R 51 900 000		What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as a result of the activity?	R 2 166 450		Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure?	YES X	NO	Is the activity a public amenity?	YES	NO X	How many new employment opportunities will be created in the development and construction phase of the activity/ies?	45	
What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion?	R 51 900 000																	
What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as a result of the activity?	R 2 166 450																	
Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure?	YES X	NO																
Is the activity a public amenity?	YES	NO X																
How many new employment opportunities will be created in the development and construction phase of the activity/ies?	45																	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response	
		<p>natural park is not a sportsfield. It should at least be veld dominated by alien species. I think you picked sportsfield to get away from specialist studies. Again, please get a specialist to confirm that this really isn't a wetland and that this can be classed as a "sportsfield".</p> <p>Page 24, nr 5 – if it is perennial, then there is a wetland. Please confirm.</p> <p>Page 28, nr c – Please confirm with a specialist study that this is not a wetland area. It cannot just be stated.</p> <p>Please update the issues trail with actual questions and answers, not just general statements. Who asked what? What is the specific answer?</p>	<p>What is the expected value of the employment opportunities during the development and construction phase?</p> <p>What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals?</p> <p>How many permanent new employment opportunities will be created during the operational phase of the activity?</p> <p>What is the expected current value of the employment opportunities during the first 10 years?</p> <p>What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals?</p>	<p>R 14 960 000</p> <p>50%</p> <p>22</p> <p>R 13 084 500</p> <p>100%</p>
			<p>There is a misconception that the Local Municipality plans to close all green areas in Secunda. There are specific areas identified and targeted by the Local Municipality to close and rezone. The closure of certain parks area, as an initiative from the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality,</p>	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>follows due to a number of reasons namely: there is currently approximately 100 hectares of park areas in Secunda which is substantial for the area; the parks are maintenance intensive and there are challenges that exist pertaining to maintaining the areas; due to intensive mining activities outside of Secunda there is a limited amount of land available for adequate housing development initiatives; open space and park areas also create areas of concern pertaining to safety issues to surrounding residents and it is often impractical to erect fences around these areas to address the safety concerns.</p> <p>The proposed residential development is not expected to have a negative impact on the surrounding uses, considering that the majority of uses in the surrounding area are used for residential purposes. Residential uses are in general not considered to be noise or risk associated uses, especially not on the scale and density such as what the envisaged development will entail. As a direct impact of the envisaged development, services will be installed that will contribute in upgrading the service network of the area. The utilisation of 1.08</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			<p>hectares of open space for residential purposes will have a very limited impact on the larger open space system, which is more than 100 hectares in extent. The proposed development will increase the safety and security as more residents will be living in the area and will contribute towards awareness of criminal or suspected criminal activities. The position of the property will not negatively affect the sunlight of the surrounding properties. Access to the greater remaining open space system will still be possible from Goddefroy Street and Coen Brits Street, and the proposed development will therefore not restrict access to the wider open space system. The creation of a maximum of 12 residential stands will not generate high or excessive amounts of traffic or noise in the operational phase. During the construction phase measures will be implemented as part of the EMPr to mitigate noise and traffic impacts. The provision of residential stands will create new opportunities to address the growing demand and housing backlog in a fast developing area. The envisaged development will also provide stands in an upmarket area the will allow a person to build from plan. It also need to be noted that park closure of the area</p>

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
			on which the envisaged development footprint is planned for has already been approved by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality over a year ago resulting in revoking the public status. This process was also advertised by the Govan Mbeki Local Municipality and a public participation was followed.
Gordon Pelser	Private	I support the development	
Bianca Smith	Private	I support the development	
Bruce Andre Barkhuizen	Private	I support the development	
Adele Olivier	Private	I support the development	
Hendrik Byleveld	Private	I support the development	
Colleen Pelser	Private	I support the development	
Michele Schempers	Private	I support the development	
Schalk De Waal	Private	I support the development	
Willem Rudolph	Private	I support the development	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
Smith			
Linda Smith	Private	I support the development.	
Rika Kruger	Private	I support the development.	
Jaco Bronkhorst	Private	I support the development as the local municipality is not looking after the parks in any case. Rather build more houses.	
Adele Bronkhorst	Private	I support the development, as the crime in the parks are just increasing, and no real measures are in place to manage this.	
Jaco Roos	Private	I support this development	
Maureen Schempers	Private	I support the development	
Elias Mollo	Private	I support proposed development. Most trees that's standing in area not indigenous and must be removed anyway. Especially in current water crises in our country.	
Bianca Meyer	Private	I support development. Area a threat to school kids.	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
Andri De Waal	Private	I support the development.	
Johan Schoeman	Private	I support the development.	
Emily Erasmus	Private	I support the development.	
Lezaan Steyn	Private	I support the development.	
Elreza Schoeman	Private	I support the development.	
Thenet Joubert	Private	I support the development.	
Sunel Erasmus	Private	Ek stem dat die ontwikkeling kan voortgaan, Secunda het 'n tekort aan erwe.	
Rassie Erasmus	Private	I support the development in Secunda.	
Veronica Schoeman	Private	I support the development in Secunda.	
Marius Millerand Schempers	Private	I support the development.	
Nadia Botha	Private	I support the development.	
JP van Niekerk	Private	I support Secunda Development.	
Nico Botha	Private	I support the development.	
Natasha Schwartz	Private	I support the development.	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
Altha Kotze	Private	I support the development.	
Renee De Waal	Private	I support the development.	
Lynnette Joubert	Private	I support the development.	
Jaco Joubert	Private	I support the development.	
Frederick Robert Dickason	Private	I support the development.	
Yolanda Willemse	Private	I support the development.	
Mari-Elize van der Berg	Private	Go ahead with the development.	
Janus Venter	Private	I support the development.	
Hester van Niekerk	Private	I support Secunda Development.	
Zuleike Joubert	Private	I support Secunda Development.	
Jozanne Peeters	Private	I support the development.	
Michael de Waal	Private	I support the development.	
Sonja Greyvenstein	Private	I support the development!	
Leonie Basson	Private	I support the development.	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
Mogie Moodley	Private	I support the development.	
Nicoleen Roos	Private	I support the development.	
Philip Hattingh	Private	I support the development because this is the 1 st stands that's available not within a security complex since the early 90's.	
Kobus Rudolph	Private	I support the development.	
Andre de Waal	Private	I support the development.	
Sheila Jackson	Private	I support the development.	
Annette Botha	Private	I support the development.	
Marilize Steyn	Private	No comments received	
Marita Kruger	Private	I support the development.	
Maggie Byleveld	Private	I fully support the development.	
Pierre Bosch (Cllr)	Ward Councillor	I fully support the development. The economic benefits far outweigh the negligible environmental effects. In an economy such as South Africa is facing currently the ability for that development to generate jobs and other employment is	

Registered Interested and Affected Party Name	Company	Comments	Response
		very important to the local economy.	